### 3.0 Understanding the Colorado School and District Reports

### 3.1 Purpose and Use of Colorado Assessment Results

The primary purpose of CMAS and CoAlt is to provide high-quality assessments that align to the Colorado Academic Standards (CAS). Assessment results are a helpful tool in evaluating educational programs and student progress. These reports:

- Summarize and report on the status and progress of student achievement
- Describe student performance relative to meeting standards
- Gauge school, district, and state year-to-year progress
- Support improvement planning (e.g., prioritize professional learning and resource decisions, advise program alignment with academic standards, reflect on the effectiveness of school initiatives)

Standardized assessments are a valuable tool for evaluating programs. However, any assessment can provide only one part of the picture. CMAS and CoAlt assessment results are not able to identify, let alone measure, every factor that contributes to the success or failure of a program. Assessment results can be most helpful if considered as one component of an evaluation system.

### 3.2 School and District Reports

In addition to individual Student Performance Reports, schools and districts receive the following reports:

School and District Reports

| All content areas | Performance Level Summary Report, Content <br> Standards Rosters (school level only), District <br> Summary of Schools (district level only), <br> Participation Summary Report |
| :--- | :--- |
| CMAS Science | Item Analysis Reports |
| CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA | Evidence Statement Analysis Reports |

These reports summarize how students in the school or district performed and are described later in this section. School and district reports are not for public distribution and are only to be viewed by individuals authorized to access student level data.

Note: Sample reports included in this guide are for illustration purposes only. They are provided to show the basic layout and information on the reports. Sample reports do not include actual data from any administration.

### 3.2.1 Types of Scores on the Colorado School and District Reports

To understand each part of the Colorado assessment school and district reports, it is important to become familiar with the types of assessment scores that are included on the report. At varying levels, student performance is described by scale scores, performance levels, subclaim performance indicators, and percent of points earned. State, district, and school level information is provided in relevant sections of the reports so that performance at these levels can be compared. A dash (-) appears on the report when there are too few students in a school or district to maintain student privacy, therefore, results are not reported. Information about appropriate comparisons of scores appears in Section 3.3.

### 3.2.2 Scale Scores

A scale score is a numerical value that summarizes student performance. When the points astudent earns on an assessment are placed on a common scale, the student's score becomes a scale score. Scale scores adjust for slight differences in difficulty on versions of the assessment that can vary slightly from student to student within a year (referred to as forms of the assessment) or between school years (referred to as administrations). Scale scores allow for comparisons of assessment scores, within a particular grade and subject area, across administrations. As an example, a student who receives a score of 700 on one form of the 7th grade mathematics assessment is expected to score a 700 on any form of the assessment. A student who scored 750 on the 4th grade ELA assessment in 2023 demonstrated the same level of mastery of concepts and skills as an 4th grade student who scored 750 on the ELA test in 2017. Scale scores cannot be used to compare student performance across grades (e.g., grade 4 to grade 7) or subject areas (e.g., ELA to mathematics).

Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA scale scores for the overall test range from 650 to 850 . ELA and CSLA reports also provide separate scale scores for reading. Reading scale scores range from110 to 190.

CMAS science scale scores range from 650 to 850 . Science scale scores are reported for the overall test. Content standards and Science and Engineering Practices (referred to as reporting categories) also provide separate scale scores that range from 400 to 550 for each reporting category.

CoAlt science scale scores are reported for the overall test and range from 150 to 350 .

### 3.2.3 Performance Levels

Scale scores are used to determine a student's performance level for the overall assessment. Performance levels describe the concepts and skills students are expected to demonstrate within a certain range of scores at the overall assessment level by grade and content area. Descriptors for each grade level and content area are included in Appendix B of this document.

There are five cross-grade and content area performance levels for CMAS mathematics, ELA, and CSLA assessments. There are four cross-grade performance levels for CMAS science assessments. .

## CMAS Performance Levels

| CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA | CMAS Science |
| :--- | :--- |
| Level 5: Exceeded Expectations* | Level 4: Exceeded Expectations* |
| Level 4: Met Expectations* | Level 3: Met Expectations* |
| Level 3: Approached Expectations | Level 1: Partially Met Expectations |
| Level 2: Partially Met Expectations |  |
| Level 1: Did Not Yet Meet Expectations |  |

*Students in the top two performance levels met or exceeded the expectations of the CAS and are considered on track to being college and career ready in the content areas of language arts, mathematics, or science. Students in the remaining performance levels may need academic support to successfully engage in further studies in the contentarea.

## CoAlt Performance Levels

CoAlt science assessments include four performance levels.

| CoAt Performance Levels |
| :---: |
| Science |
| Advanced* |
| At Target* |
| Approaching Target |
| Emerging |

*The top two performance levels indicate that with appropriate supports, the student is prepared for further study in the content area.

### 3.2.4 Percentile Ranking

The percentile ranking shows how well the student performed in comparison to other students in the state. For example, a student in the 75 th percentile performed better than 75 percent of students in the state.

### 3.2.5 Additional Performance Indicators

In addition to scale scores, performance levels, and percentile ranking, school and district reports include other indicators to help educators understand student performance. These performance indicators are described below for each assessment.

Note: Percent earned refers to the number of points earned out of the total number of points possible within a reporting category. The percent earned indicator can only be used to compare performance of the individual student to the average district and average state performance on the specific set of items being considered. Participation rates should be taken into consideration when comparing individual student subclaim performance to state or district average performance. Some groups of items may be more difficult than other sets of items, so unlike the scale score, the percent earned indicator cannot be compared across groups of items or across school years.

## CMAS Mathematics, ELA, and CSLA

CMAS mathematics, ELA, and CSLA school and district reports include subclaim performance comparing the performance of the student, school, district, and the state. ELA and CSLA reports include a reading scale score. A single cut score at 150 indicates a level of performance comparable to the Met Expectations cut on the overall ELA assessment. This cut is consistent across years and can be used in trend comparisons.

Subclaim performance on the assessments is reported as the percent of points earned for overall writing and for each of the writing, reading, and mathematics subclaims.

## CMAS Science

CMAS science reports include a performance indicator for the content standards (Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Science) and Science and Engineering Practices (SEP), which indicates whether a student's scale score is Lower than Average, Average, or Higher than Average. These indicators are based on the state mean and one standard deviation below and above that mean. The average scale score of students at the Met Expectations cut score point is indicated in the same graph.

CMAS science reports include percent earned indicators for Grade Level Expectations (GLEs) in elementary school and Prepared Graduate Statements (PGs)* in middle school and high school.
*PGCs and GLEs are described more fully in Appendix C.

## CoAlt Science

CoAlt science reports include the percent of points earned for the content standards (Physical, Life, and Earth and Space Science) and Science and Engineering Practices (SEP).

### 3.3 Appropriate Score Comparisons and Uses

The types of comparisons that can be made differ by the scores being compared. Some scores (e.g., performance levels and scale scores) allow for cross-year comparisons, while some (e.g., percent of points earned) do not. In addition, the reliability of the comparisons or conclusions made vary depending on the size of the group (i.e., number of points contributing to a particular score or the number of students included in a comparison group) and representativeness of the testers. In general, the larger the group and representativeness of the testers, the more reliable the comparison or conclusions made will be. The smaller the group, the less reliable the comparison or conclusions made will be. High-stakes decisions should not be based on scores of small groups of students or on scores with a low number of points contributing to them. The following table provides some of the comparisons that typically can and cannot be made by particular types of scores.

## Score Comparisons

|  | Compare an individual <br> student's <br> performance to a <br> target group's <br> performance (e.g., <br> student to school, <br> district, or state) <br> within the same year | Compare a group's performance to another group's performance (e.g., one school to another school, a district to the state, students of one race/ethnicity group to students in another race/ethnicity group) within the same year | Compare an individual student's performance to a target group's performance (e.g., school, district, or state) across years | Compare a group's performance to the same group's performance across years | Compare to other scores of the same type in a different subject or grade |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Levels | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO <br> (These are content and grade specific.) |
| Scale Scores | YES | YES | YES | YES | NO (These are content and grade specific.) |
| Percent of Points Earned | YES | YES | NO <br> (These are specific to the year of the assessment.) | NO <br> (These are specific to the year of the assessment.) | NO <br> (These are specific to the PG/GLE or subclaim.) |
| Average strengths and weaknesses (subscale reporting categories)* | YES | YES | NO <br> (These are specific to the year of the assessment.) | NO <br> (These are specific to the year of the assessment.) | NO <br> (These are specific to the reporting category) |

*Averages provide information about a student's performance in the reporting category compared to all students in the school, district, and state. These are not based on the standards and should not be interpreted in the same way as the overall performance levels.

Some assessment scores can be used to compare the performance of different demographic or program groups. All CMAS scores can be analyzed within the same grade and subject area for any single administration to determine which group had the highest average scale score, the lowest percentage achieving Exceeded Expectations, the highest percentage achieving Approached Expectations, etc.

Other scores can be used to help evaluate the academic performance of demographic or program groups. For example, aggregations of reporting category data can help districts and schools identify areas of potential academic weakness for a group of students. This same methodology can be applied to an entire school or district.

In addition, all assessment scores can be compared to district and statewide performance within the same subject area for any administration.

